IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE

ECOLAB INC. and ECOLAB USA INC.,))
Plaintiffs,) C.A. No. 21-567-RGA
v.))
DUBOIS CHEMICALS, INC.,)
Defendant.	<i>)</i>))

FINAL VERDICT FORM

Instructions: When answering the questions and filling out this Verdict Form, please follow the directions provided throughout the form. Your answer to each question must be unanimous. Please refer to the Jury Instructions for guidance on the law applicable to the subject matter covered by each question.

	We, the jury,	unanimously	agree to	the answers	to the	following	questions	as our	verdic
in this	case:								

BREACH OF CONTRACT

DKE	ACII OF CONTRACT				
1.	Do you find by a preponderance of the evidence that DuBois has breached the Settlement Agreement?				
	Checking "Yes" below indicates a finding for Ecolab. Checking "No" below indicates a finding for DuBois.				
	Yes No				
-	answered "Yes" above, please proceed to Question 2. If you answered "No" above, please Question 2 and proceed to Question 3.				
DAM	AGES FOR BREACH OF CONTRACT				
2.	What are Ecolab's damages for DuBois' breach of the Settlement Agreement?				
	\$				
Please	e proceed to Question 3.				

DIRECT INFRINGEMENT

3.	Has Ecolab proven by a preponderance of the evidence that DuBois directly infringed the following Asserted Claims?					
	<u> </u>	Checking "Yes" below indicates a finding for Ecolab. Checking "No" below indicates a finding for DuBois.				
	'257 Patent, Claim 41:	Yes	No			
	'381 Patent, Claim 5:	Yes	No			
	'381 Patent, Claim 7:	Yes	No			
	'215 Patent, Claim 1:	Yes	No			
	'215 Patent, Claim 16:	Yes	No			
_	dless of whether you answered "Ys listed above in Question 3, please		s to infringement of any of the Assestion 4.	ertec		
INDII	RECT INFRINGEMENT BY IN	DUCEMENT				
4. induce	Has Ecolab proven by a preponde ement the following Asserted Clain		dence that DuBois indirectly infring	ed by		
	Checking "Yes" below ind Checking "No" below ind					
	'257 Patent, Claim 41:	Yes	No			
	'381 Patent, Claim 5:	Yes	No			
	'381 Patent, Claim 7:	Yes	No			

	'215 Patent, Claim 1:	Yes	No	
	'215 Patent, Claim 16:	Yes	No	_
	wered either Question 3 or 4 as Question 5.	bove with an "Y	es" to at least one Asserte	ed Claim , please
	swered "No" to all Asserted C ed to reading and signing the			skip Question 5
WILLFU	L INFRINGEMENT			
5. Do	you find by a preponderance	of the evidence	that DuBois' infringemen	nt was willful?
	Checking "Yes" below in Checking "No" below in	•		
	Yes No	0		
it accurate verdict for verdict. The	ou have reached the end of the ely reflects your unanimous derm in the space below and not the Foreperson should retain port with the jury.	ecision. The For ify the Court Sec	eperson should then sign curity Officer that you ha	and date the ve reached a
For the Ju	ry:			
Dated	Fo	oreperson		